

Environmental Assessment for Proposed Effluent Guidelines and Standards for the Construction and Development Category

June 2002

United States Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Water (4303T)
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20460
www.epa.gov/waterscience/guide/

[EPA-821-R-02-009]

Acknowledgments and Disclaimer

The Construction and Development Effluent Guidelines proposed rule and support documents were prepared by the C&D Project Team: Eric Strassler, Project Manager; Jesse Pritts, P.E., Engineer; George Denning, Economist; Karen Maher, Environmental Assessor; and Michael G. Lee, Attorney. Technical support for this Environmental Assessment was provided by Tetra Tech, Inc.

Neither the United States government nor any of its employees, contractors, subcontractors or other employees makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for any third party's use of, or the results of such use of, any information, apparatus, product or process discussed in this report, or represents that its use by such a third party would not infringe on privately owned rights. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement by EPA or recommendation for use.

Contents

Section 1 Introduction and Background

1.1	Introduction	1-1
1.2	Organization of Environmental Assessment	1-2
1.3	Review of Regulatory History Related to C&D Industries	1-3
1.3.1	Clean Water Act	1-3
1.3.1.1	NPDES Storm Water Permit Program	1-3
1.3.2	Other State and Local Government Storm Water Requirements	1-4

Section 2 Categories of Reported Impacts and Pollutants

2.1	Introduction	2-1
2.2	Pollutants Associated with Construction and Land Development	
	Storm Water Runoff	2-2
2.2.1	Sediment	2-2
2.2.1.1	Sources of Sediment	2-2
2.2.1.2	Receiving Waters Impacts	2-5
2.2.2	Metals	2-7
2.2.2.1	Sources of Metal Runoff	2-8
2.2.2.2	Metals Impacts on Receiving Waters	2-10
2.2.3	PAHs, and Oil and Grease	2-11
2.2.3.1	Sources of PAHs, and Oil and Grease	2-11
2.2.3.2	Receiving Water Impacts	2-12
2.2.4	Pathogens	2-13
2.2.4.1	Sources of Pathogens	2-13
2.2.4.2	Receiving Water Impacts	2-15
2.3	Physical Impacts of Construction and Land Development Activities	2-16
2.3.1	Hydrologic Impacts	2-18
2.3.1.1	Increased Runoff Volume	2-19
2.3.1.2	Increased Flood Peaks	2-22
2.3.1.3	Increased Frequency and Volume of Bankfull Flows	2-22
2.3.1.4	Changes in Baseflow	2-22
2.3.2	Impacts on Geomorphology/Sediment Transport	2-23
2.3.2.1	Increased Transport of Sediment	2-23
2.3.2.2	Decreased Sediment Transport	2-25
2.3.2.3	Increase in Size of Channel	2-26
2.3.3	Changes in Habitat Structure	2-27
2.3.3.1	Embeddedness	2-27
2.3.3.2	Large Woody Debris (LWD)	2-28

2.3.3.3	Changes in Stream Features	2-29
2.3.4	Thermal Impacts	2-29
2.3.5	Direct Channel Impacts	2-30
2.3.5.1	Channel Straightening and Hardening/Reduction in First Order Streams	2-30
2.3.5.2	Fish Blockages	2-30
2.3.6	Site Differences in Physical Impacts	2-30

Section 3 Description of Assessment Methodology

3.1	Introduction	3-1
3.2	Methodology to Estimate Pollutant Loadings from Construction Runoff Water Discharges	3-1
3.3	Characterizing the Nation's Stream Network	3-4
3.3.1	Characterizing the Stream Network within Developing Acreage	3-9
3.3.2	Characterizing the Flow Conditions in Stream Network	3-12
3.3.3	Converting Stream Miles into Impact Estimates	3-14

Section 4 Environmental Benefits Assessment of Evaluated Regulatory Options

4.1	Total Suspended Solids Loadings	4-1
4.2	Total Suspended Solid In-Stream Concentrations	4-3
4.3	Miscellaneous Impacts	4-4

Section 5 References

Appendices

A.	Evaluating Pollutant Loadings from Construction Activities that Potentially Impact the Environment	A-1
B.	Inventorying of Streams Potentially Impacted by Construction Activities	B-1
C.	Impacts of Construction Activity on Hydrology	C-1

Tables

Table 1-1.	Regulatory Options Evaluated for Controlling Discharges from Construction Activities	1-2
Table 2-1.	Studies of Soil Erosion as TSS From Construction Sites	2-3
Table 2-2.	Sources of Sediment in Urban Areas	2-4
Table 2-3.	Source Area Concentrations for TSS in Urban Areas	2-4

Table 2-4.	Sediment Impacts on Receiving Waters	2-6
Table 2-5.	Metal Sources and Hot Spots in Urban Areas	2-9
Table 2-6.	Metal Source Area Concentrations in Urban Areas	2-10
Table 2-7.	Metals Impacts on Receiving Waters	2-11
Table 2-8.	Effects of PAHs and Oil and Grease on Receiving Waters	2-13
Table 2-9.	Percentage Detection of <i>Giardia</i> Cysts and <i>Cryptosporidium</i> Oocysts in Subwatersheds and Wastewater Treatment Plant Effluent in the New York City Water Supply Watersheds	2-15
Table 2-10.	Effects of Bacteria on Receiving Waters	2-16
Table 2-11.	Physical Impacts on Streams	2-17
Table 2-12.	Hydrologic Differences Between a Parking Lot and a Meadow	2-20
Table 2-13.	Comparison of Bulk Density for Undisturbed Soils and Common Urban Conditions	2-21
Table 3-1.	Common Construction Erosion and Sediment Control BMPs	3-2
Table 3-2.	Site BMPs Evaluated by EPA for Effluent Guidelines Development	3-3
Table 3-3.	Results of the National Stream Survey	3-6
Table 3-4.	Land Development Annually in Ecoregions	3-11
Table 3-5.	Characterization of Stream Orders for Ecoregions	3-13
Table 3-6.	Characterization of Stream Length by Flow Type for Ecoregions	3-14
Table 3-7.	Estimated Miles of Streams Potentially Affected by One Year's Construction	3-16
Table 3-8.	Active Construction Site Runoff Scenarios for Option 1 and Option 2	3-18
Table 3-9.	Runoff Coefficients for Land Uses	3-18
Table 3-10.	Runoff EMCs for Acres Within a Watershed	3-20
Table 4-1.	Regulatory Options Evaluated for Controlling Discharges from Construction Activities	4-1
Table 4-2.	Estimated TSS Loadings Reductions for Proposed Regulatory Options	4-2
Table 4-3.	Development Scenarios Used to Estimate Impacts of Regulatory Options	4-3
Table 4-4.	Estimated Average In-Stream TSS Concentrations Reduction	4-4

Figures

Figure 2-1.	Ultimate Channel Enlargement	2-18
Figure 2-2.	Altered Hydrograph in Response to Urbanization	2-19
Figure 2-3.	Runoff Coefficient Versus Impervious Cover	2-20
Figure 2-4.	Baseflow in Response to Urbanization: Nassau County, NY	2-23
Figure 2-5.	Increased Shear Stress from an Urban Hydrograph	2-24
Figure 2-6.	Sediment Production from Construction Sites	2-25
Figure 2-7.	Drainage Network of Rock Creek, Maryland, Before and After Urbanization.	2-26

Figure 2-8.	Channel Enlargement in Watts Branch, Maryland	2-27
Figure 2-9.	Large Woody Debris as a Function of Watershed Imperviousness	2-28
Figure 2-10.	Stream Temperature Increase in Response to Urbanization	2-29
Figure 3-1.	Ecoregions for Stream Inventorying	3-5
Figure 3-2.	Land Use Distribution of a Watershed	3-15